Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IMAG, Université de Montpellier

CombinatoireS, Summer School,

Paris, June 29 - July 3 2015

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

A matroid M is an ordered pair (E, \mathcal{I}) where E is a finite set $(E = \{1, ..., n\})$ and \mathcal{I} is a family of subsets of E verifying the following conditions :

- $(I1) \ \emptyset \in \mathcal{I},$
- (12) If $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I' \subset I$ then $I' \in \mathcal{I}$,
- (13) If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $|I_1| < |I_2|$ then there exists $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$ such that $I_1 \cup e \in \mathcal{I}$.

The members in \mathcal{I} are called the independents of M. A subset in E not belonging to \mathcal{I} is called dependent.

Proof : (I1) et (I2) are trivial.

Proof : (I1) et (I2) are trivial.

(13)] Let $I'_1, I'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2 , are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$.

Proof : (I1) et (I2) are trivial.

(13)] Let $l'_1, l'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say l_1 et l_2 , are linearly independent with $|l_1| < |l_2|$. By contradiction, suppose that $l_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any

 $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1.$

Proof : (I1) et (I2) are trivial.

(13)] Let $I'_1, I'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2 , are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$. By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any

 $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and $\overline{I_2}$.

Proof : (I1) et (I2) are trivial.

(13)] Let $I'_1, I'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2 , are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$. By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any

 $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and $\overline{I_2}$.

On one hand, $dim(W) \ge |I_2|$,

Proof : (1) et (12) are trivial.

(13)] Let $l'_1, l'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say l_1 et l_2 , are linearly independent with $|l_1| < |l_2|$. By contradiction, suppose that $l_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any

 $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$. Let W the space generated by I_1 and I_2 .

On one hand, $dim(W) \ge |I_2|$, on the other hand W is contained in the space generated by I_1 .

Proof : (1) et (12) are trivial.

(13)] Let $I'_1, I'_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that the corresponding columns, say I_1 et I_2 , are linearly independent with $|I_1| < |I_2|$. By contradiction, suppose that $I_1 \cup e$ is linearly dependent for any

 $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$. Let *W* the space generated by I_1 and I_2 .

On one hand, $dim(W) \ge |I_2|$, on the other hand W is contained in the space generated by I_1 .

 $|I_2| \le dim(W) \le |I_1| < |I_2|$!!!

Let A be the following matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{R} .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,5\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,5\}, \{4,5\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M)$

A matroid obtained form a matrix A with coefficients in \mathbb{F} is denoted by M(A) and is called representable over \mathbb{F} or \mathbb{F} -representable.

Circuits

A subset $X \subseteq E$ is said to be minimal dependent if any proper subset of X is independent. A minimal dependent set of matroid M is called circuit of M. We denote by C the set of circuits of a matroid.

Circuits

- A subset $X \subseteq E$ is said to be minimal dependent if any proper subset of X is independent. A minimal dependent set of matroid M is called circuit of M.
- We denote by C the set of circuits of a matroid.
- ${\cal C}$ is the set of circuits of a matrid on E if and only if ${\cal C}$ verifies the following properties :
- (C1) $\emptyset \notin C$,
- (C2) $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C_1 \subseteq C_2$ then $C_1 = C_2$,
- (C3) (elimination property) If $C_1, C_2 \in C, C_1 \neq C_2$ and $e \in C_1 \cap C_2$ then there exists $C_3 \in C$ such that $C_3 \subseteq \{C_1 \cup C_2\} \setminus \{e\}$.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph G = (V, E) is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph G = (V, E) is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

This matroid is denoted by M(G) and called graphic.

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph G = (V, E) is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

This matroid is denoted by M(G) and called graphic.

Proof : Verify (C1), (C2) and (C3).

Theorem The set of cycles in a graph G = (V, E) is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

This matroid is denoted by M(G) and called graphic.

Proof : Verify (C1), (C2) and (C3).

A subset of edges $I \subset \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of G is independent if the graph induced by I does not contain a cycle.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



It can be checked that M(G) is isomorphic to M(A) (under the bijection $e_i \rightarrow i$).

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier



Theorem A graphic matroid is always representable over \mathbb{R} .

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem A graphic matroid is always representable over \mathbb{R} . Proof (idea) Let G = (V, E) be an oriented graph and let $\{x_i, i \in V\}$ be the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}^{|V|}$. Theorem A graphic matroid is always representable over \mathbb{R} .

Proof (idea) Let G = (V, E) be an oriented graph and let $\{x_i, i \in V\}$ be the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}^{|V|}$.

Exercice : Verify that the graph G = (V, E) gives the same matroid that the one given by the set of vectors $y_e = x_i - x_j$ where $e = (i, j) \in E$.



 $A = \begin{pmatrix} y_a & y_b & y_c & y_d \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

G

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier



M(G) is isomorphic to M(A) $(a \rightarrow y_a, b \rightarrow y_b, c \rightarrow y_c, d \rightarrow y_d)$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín



M(G) is isomorphic to M(A) $(a \rightarrow y_a, b \rightarrow y_b, c \rightarrow y_c, d \rightarrow y_d)$. The cycle formed by the edges $a = \{1, 2\}, b = \{1, 3\}$ et $c = \{2, 3\}$ in the graph correspond to the linear dependency $y_b - y_a = y_c$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín



A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by ${\cal B}$ the set of all bases of a matroid.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by \mathcal{B} the set of all bases of a matroid. Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality.



A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by \mathcal{B} the set of all bases of a matroid. Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality. Proof : exercices.



A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by \mathcal{B} the set of all bases of a matroid. Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality. Proof : exercices. The family \mathcal{B} verifies the following conditions : (B1) $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, (B2) (exchange propety) $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ then there exist $y \in B_2 \setminus B_1$ such that $(B_1 \setminus x) \cup y \in \mathcal{B}$.



A base of a matroid is a maximal independent set. We denote by \mathcal{B} the set of all bases of a matroid. Lemma The bases of a matroid have the same cardinality. Proof : exercices. The family \mathcal{B} verifies the following conditions : (B1) $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, (B2) (exchange propety) $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ then there exist $y \in B_2 \setminus B_1$ such that $(B_1 \setminus x) \cup y \in \mathcal{B}$.

If \mathcal{I} is the family of subsets contained in a set of \mathcal{B} then $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.



Theorem \mathcal{B} is the set of basis of a matroid if and only if it verifies (*B*1) and (*B*2).

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Bases

Theorem \mathcal{B} is the set of basis of a matroid if and only if it verifies (*B*1) and (*B*2).



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial





J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial







J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier







J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier






J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier





J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Rank

The rank of a set $X \subseteq E$ is defined by

 $r_M(X) = \max\{|Y| : Y \subseteq X, Y \in \mathcal{I}\}.$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

The rank of a set $X \subseteq E$ is defined by

$$r_{\mathcal{M}}(X) = \max\{|Y| : Y \subseteq X, Y \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

 $r = r_M$ is the rank function of a matroid (E, \mathcal{I}) (where $\mathcal{I} = \{I \subseteq E : r(I) = |I|\}$) if and only if r verifies the following conditions :

$$\begin{array}{ll} (R1) & 0 \leq r(X) \leq |X|, \text{ for all } X \subseteq E, \\ (R2) & r(X) \leq r(Y), \text{ for all } X \subseteq Y, \\ (R3) & (\textit{sub-modulairity}) \ r(X \cup Y) + r(X \cap Y) \leq r(X) + r(Y) \text{ for all } \\ & X, Y \subset E. \end{array}$$



Let M be a graphic matroid obtained from G



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Rank

Let M be a graphic matroid obtained from G



It can be verified that : $r_M(\{a, b, c\}) = r_M(\{c, d\}) = r_M(\{a, d\}) = 2$ et $r(M(G)) = r_M(\{a, b, c, d\}) = 3.$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier



Let M be a matroid on the ground set E and let \mathcal{B} the set of bases of M. Then,

 $\mathcal{B}^* = \{ E \setminus B \mid B \in \mathcal{B} \}$

is the set of bases of a matroid on E.



Let M be a matroid on the ground set E and let \mathcal{B} the set of bases of M. Then,

 $\mathcal{B}^* = \{ E \setminus B \mid B \in \mathcal{B} \}$

is the set of bases of a matroid on E.

The matroid on *E* having \mathcal{B}^* as set of bases, denoted by M^* , is called the dual of *M*.

A base of M^* is also called cobase of M.

Duality

We have that

• $r(M^*) = |E| - r_M$ and $M^{**} = M$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Duality

We have that

- $r(M^*) = |E| r_M$ and $M^{**} = M$.
- The set \mathcal{I}^* of independents of M^* is given by

 $\mathcal{I}^* = \{X \mid X \subset E \text{ such that there exists } B \in \mathcal{B}(M) \text{ with } X \cap B = \emptyset\}.$

Duality

We have that

- $r(M^*) = |E| r_M$ and $M^{**} = M$.
- The set \mathcal{I}^* of independents of M^* is given by

 $\mathcal{I}^* = \{X \mid X \subset E \text{ such that there exists } B \in \mathcal{B}(M) \text{ with } X \cap B = \emptyset\}.$

• The rank function of M^* is given by

 $r_{M^*}(X) = |X| + r_M(E \setminus X) - r_M,$

for $X \subset E$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A cocycle (or cut) of G is the set of edges joining the two parts of a partition of the set of vertices of the graph.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A cocycle (or cut) of G is the set of edges joining the two parts of a partition of the set of vertices of the graph.

Theorem Let $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ be the set of minimal (by inclusion) cocycles of a graph G. Then, $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A cocycle (or cut) of G is the set of edges joining the two parts of a partition of the set of vertices of the graph.

Theorem Let $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ be the set of minimal (by inclusion) cocycles of a graph G. Then, $\mathcal{C}(G)^*$ is the set of circuits of a matroid on E. The matroid obtained on this way is called the matroid of cocycle of G or bond matroid, denoted by B(G).

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



 $\mathcal{B}(M(G)) = \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}(G)) = \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}$ $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) = \{\{2\},\{3\},\{1\}\}$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



```
 \begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}(G)) &= \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\} \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\{2\},\{3\},\{1\}\} \\ \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\} \end{split}
```

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}(G)) &= \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}\\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\{2\},\{3\},\{1\}\}\\ \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}\\ \text{The dependents of } \mathcal{M}^*(G) \text{ are } \mathcal{P}(\{1,2,3,4\}) \setminus \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\} \end{split}$$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Theorem $M^*(G) = B(G)$ and $M(G) = B^*(G)$.



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}(G)) &= \{\{4,1,3\},\{4,1,2\},\{4,2,3\}\}\\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\{2\},\{3\},\{1\}\}\\ \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}\\ \text{The dependents of } \mathcal{M}^*(G) \text{ are } \mathcal{P}(\{1,2,3,4\}) \setminus \{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}\\ \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}^*(G)) &= \{\{4\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\} \text{ that are precisely the cocycles of } G. \end{split}$$

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem If G is planar then $M^*(G) = M(G^*)$.



Remark The dual of a graphic matroid is not necessarly graphic.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Theorem The dual of a $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroid is $\mathbb F\text{-representable}.$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem The dual of a \mathbb{F} -representable matroid is \mathbb{F} -representable. Proof. The matrix representing M can always be written as

 $(I_r \mid A)$

where I_r is the identity $r \times r$ and A is a matrix of size $r \times (n - r)$.

Theorem The dual of a \mathbb{F} -representable matroid is \mathbb{F} -representable. Proof. The matrix representing M can always be written as

 $(I_r \mid A)$

where I_r is the identity $r \times r$ and A is a matrix of size $r \times (n - r)$. (Exercise) M^* can be obtained from the set of columns of the matrix

 $(-^{t}A \mid I_{n-r})$

where I_{n-r} is the identity $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ and ${}^{t}A$ is the transpose of A.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

The matroid M^* is also called the orthogonal matroid of M since the duality for representable matroids is a generalization of the notion of orthogonality in vector spaces. The matroid M^* is also called the orthogonal matroid of M since the duality for representable matroids is a generalization of the notion of orthogonality in vector spaces.

Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n where n = |E|. We recall that the orthogonal space V^{\perp} is defined from the canonical scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} u(e)v(e)$ by

 $V^{\perp} = \{ v \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u \in V \}.$

The matroid M^* is also called the orthogonal matroid of M since the duality for representable matroids is a generalization of the notion of orthogonality in vector spaces.

Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n where n = |E|. We recall that the orthogonal space V^{\perp} is defined from the canonical scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} u(e)v(e)$ by

$$V^{\perp} = \{ v \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u \in V \}.$$

The orthogonal space of the space generated by the columns of $(I \mid A)$ is given by the space generated by the columns of $(-^{t}A \mid I_{n-r})$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Let *M* be a matroid on the set *E* and let $A \subset E$. Then,

 ${X \subset E \setminus A \mid X \text{ is independent in } M}$

is a set of independent of a matroid on $E \setminus A$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial Let *M* be a matroid on the set *E* and let $A \subset E$. Then,

 $\{X \subset E \setminus A \mid X \text{ is independent in } M\}$

is a set of independent of a matroid on $E \setminus A$. This matroid is obtained from M by deleting the elements of A and it is denoted by $M \setminus A$. Let M be a matroid on the set E and let $A \subset E$. Let $M|_A = \{X \subseteq A | X \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$ and $X \subseteq E \setminus A$. Then,

 $\{X \subseteq E \setminus A | \text{ there exists a base } B \text{ of } M|_A \text{ such that } X \cup B \in \mathcal{I}(M) \}$

is the set of independents of a matroid in $E \setminus A$.
Let M be a matroid on the set E and let $A \subset E$. Let $M|_A = \{X \subseteq A | X \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$ and $X \subseteq E \setminus A$. Then,

 $\{X \subseteq E \setminus A | \text{ there exists a base } B \text{ of } M|_A \text{ such that } X \cup B \in \mathcal{I}(M) \}$

is the set of independents of a matroid in $E \setminus A$. This matroid is obtained from M by contracting the elements of A and it is denoted by M/A.

Properties

(i) $(M \setminus A) \setminus A' = M \setminus (A \cup A')$ (ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$ (iii) $(M \setminus A)/A' = (M/A') \setminus A$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Properties

(i) $(M \setminus A) \setminus A' = M \setminus (A \cup A')$ (ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$ (iii) $(M \setminus A)/A' = (M/A') \setminus A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

 $(M \setminus A)^* = (M^*)/A$ and $(M/A)^* = (M^*) \setminus A$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Properties

(i) $(M \setminus A) \setminus A' = M \setminus (A \cup A')$ (ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$ (iii) $(M \setminus A)/A' = (M/A') \setminus A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M \setminus A)^* = (M^*)/A$$
 and $(M/A)^* = (M^*) \setminus A$

and thus $M/A = (M^* \setminus A)^*$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Properties

(i) $(M \setminus A) \setminus A' = M \setminus (A \cup A')$ (ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$ (iii) $(M \setminus A)/A' = (M/A') \setminus A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M \setminus A)^* = (M^*)/A$$
 and $(M/A)^* = (M^*) \setminus A$

and thus $M/A = (M^* \setminus A)^*$ A minor of a matroid of M is any matroid obtained by a sequence of deletions and contractions.

Properties

(i) $(M \setminus A) \setminus A' = M \setminus (A \cup A')$ (ii) $(M/A)/A' = M/(A \cup A')$ (iii) $(M \setminus A)/A' = (M/A') \setminus A$

The operations deletion and contraction are duals, that is,

$$(M \setminus A)^* = (M^*)/A$$
 and $(M/A)^* = (M^*) \setminus A$

and thus $M/A = (M^* \setminus A)^*$ A minor of a matroid of M is any matroid obtained by a sequence of deletions and contractions.

Question : Is it true that any family of matroids is closed under deletions/contractions operations?

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Proposition Any minor of a uniform matroid is uniform.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Proposition Any minor of a uniform matroid is uniform. Proof <u>Deletion</u> : let $T \subseteq E$ with |T| = t. Then,

$$U_{n,r} \setminus T = \begin{cases} U_{n-t,n-t} & \text{if } n \ge t \ge n-r \\ U_{n-t,r} & \text{if } t < n-r. \end{cases}$$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

$$\mathcal{B}(U_{n,r}) = \{X \subset E : |X| = r\}$$

Proposition Any minor of a uniform matroid is uniform. Proof <u>Deletion</u> : let $T \subseteq E$ with |T| = t. Then,

$$U_{n,r} \setminus T = \begin{cases} U_{n-t,n-t} & \text{if } n \ge t \ge n-r \\ U_{n-t,r} & \text{if } t < n-r. \end{cases}$$

Contraction : it follows by using duality.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Proposition The class of graphic matroids is closed under deletions and contractions.

Minors - graphic matroids

Proposition The class of graphic matroids is closed under deletions and contractions.



Contracting element 6

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field $\mathbb F$ is closed under deletions and contractions.

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field \mathbb{F} is closed under deletions and contractions. Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of \mathbb{F}^d . Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field \mathbb{F} is closed under deletions and contractions. Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of \mathbb{F}^d . Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$ Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the <u>unit vector</u>.

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field \mathbb{F} is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of \mathbb{F}^d . Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$ Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the <u>unit vector</u>.

Contracting : M/a is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v'_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$ where v'_e is the vector obtained from v_e by deleting the non zero entry of v_a .

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field \mathbb{F} is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of \mathbb{F}^d . Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors

 $(v_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$

Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the <u>unit vector</u>.

Contracting : M/a is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v'_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$ where v'_e is the vector obtained from v_e by deleting the non zero entry of v_a .

• If we change the nonzero component we obtain another representation of M/a.

Proposition The class of representable matroids over a field \mathbb{F} is closed under deletions and contractions.

Let M be a matroid obtained from the vectors $(v_e)_{e \in E}$ of \mathbb{F}^d . Deleting : $M \setminus a$ is the matroid obtained from the vectors

 $(v_e)_{e\in E\setminus a}$

Remark : Lines sums and scalar multiplications do not change the associated matroid. So, if $v_a \neq \overline{0}$ then we suppose that v_a is the <u>unit vector</u>.

Contracting : M/a is the matroid obtained from the vectors $(v'_e)_{e \in E \setminus a}$ where v'_e is the vector obtained from v_e by deleting the non zero entry of v_a .

• If we change the nonzero component we obtain another representation of M/a.

• If $v_a = \overline{0}$ then *a* is a loop of *M* and thus $M/a = M \setminus a$.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial For any field \mathbb{F} , there exists a list of excluded minors, that is, nonrepresentable matroids over \mathbb{F} but any of its proper minors is representable over \mathbb{F} .

For any field \mathbb{F} , there exists a list of excluded minors, that is, nonrepresentable matroids over \mathbb{F} but any of its proper minors is representable over \mathbb{F} .

Determining the list of excluded minors over \mathbb{F} gives a characterization of the matroids representables over \mathbb{F} .

- For any field \mathbb{F} , there exists a list of excluded minors, that is, nonrepresentable matroids over \mathbb{F} but any of its proper minors is representable over \mathbb{F} .
- Determining the list of excluded minors over \mathbb{F} gives a characterization of the matroids representables over \mathbb{F} .

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (binary matroids) : the list has only one matroid $U_{2,4}$ (3 pages proof)

 $\mathcal{B}(U_{2,4}) = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\}$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Theorem A matroid is graphic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M^*(K_5) = B(K_5)$ nor $M^*(K_{3,3}) = B(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Theorem A matroid is graphic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M^*(K_5) = B(K_5)$ nor $M^*(K_{3,3}) = B(K_{3,3})$ as minors. Theorem A matroid is cographic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M(K_5)$ nor $M(K_{3,3})$ as minors.

For $\mathbb{F} = GF(4)$: the list has 8 matroids explicitly given (50 pages proof)

Theorem A matroid is graphic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M^*(K_5) = B(K_5)$ nor $M^*(K_{3,3}) = B(K_{3,3})$ as minors. Theorem A matroid is cographic if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7, F_7^*, M(K_5)$ nor $M(K_{3,3})$ as minors. Theorem A matroid is regular if and only if has neither $U_{2,4}, F_7$ nor F_7^* as minors.

Tutte Polynomial

The Tutte polynomial of a matroid M is the generating function defined as follows

$$t(M; x, y) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (x - 1)^{r(E) - r(X)} (y - 1)^{|X| - r(X)}.$$

Tutte Polynomial

The Tutte polynomial of a matroid M is the generating function defined as follows

$$t(M; x, y) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (x - 1)^{r(E) - r(X)} (y - 1)^{|X| - r(X)}.$$

Let $U_{2,3}$ be the matroid of rank 2 on 3 elements with $\mathcal{B}(U_{2,3}) = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$

Tutte Polynomial

The Tutte polynomial of a matroid M is the generating function defined as follows

$$t(M; x, y) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (x - 1)^{r(E) - r(X)} (y - 1)^{|X| - r(X)}.$$

Let $U_{2,3}$ be the matroid of rank 2 on 3 elements with $\mathcal{B}(U_{2,3}) = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$

$$t(U_{2,3}; x, y) = \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 0 \\ X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 2}} (x - 1)^{2-0} (y - 1)^{0-0} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 1 \\ X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 2}} (x - 1)^{2-1} (y - 1)^{1-1} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 2}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} (y - 1)^{3-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3 \\ X \subseteq X, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E, \ |X| = 3}} (x - 1)^{2-2} + \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq E,$$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

A loop of a matroid M is a circuit of cardinality one. An isthmus of M is an element that is contained in all the bases. A loop of a matroid M is a circuit of cardinality one. An isthmus of M is an element that is contained in all the bases. The Tutte polynomial can be expressed recursively as follows

$$t(M; x, y) = \begin{cases} t(M \setminus e; x, y) + t(M/e; x, y) & \text{if } e \neq \text{isthmus, loop,} \\ x \cdot t(M \setminus e; x, y) & \text{if } e \text{ is an isthmus,} \\ y \cdot t(M/e; x, y) & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop.} \end{cases}$$

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph. An orientation of G is an orientation of the edges of G.

We say that the orientation is acyclic if the oriented graph do not contain an oriented cycle (i.e., a cycle where all its edges are oriented clockwise or anti-clockwise).

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph. An orientation of G is an orientation of the edges of G.

We say that the orientation is acyclic if the oriented graph do not contain an oriented cycle (i.e., a cycle where all its edges are oriented clockwise or anti-clockwise).

Theorem The number of acyclic orientations of G is equals to

t(M(G); 2, 0).

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Acyclic Orientations

Example : There are 6 acyclic orientations of C_3



Notice that $M(C_3)$ is isomorphic to $U_{2,3}$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Acyclic Orientations

Example : There are 6 acyclic orientations of C_3



Notice that $M(C_3)$ is isomorphic to $U_{2,3}$.

Since $t(U_{2,3}; x, y) = x^2 + x + y$ then the number of acyclic orientations of C_3 is $t(U_{2,3}; 2, 0) = 2^2 + 2 + 0 = 6$.
Chromatic Polynomial

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let λ be a positive integer.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Chromatic Polynomial

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let λ be a positive integer. A λ -coloring of G is a map $\phi : V \longrightarrow \{1, \dots, \lambda\}$.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let λ be a positive integer. A λ -coloring of G is a map $\phi : V \longrightarrow \{1, \dots, \lambda\}$.

The coloring is called good if for any edge $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let λ be a positive integer. A λ -coloring of G is a map $\phi : V \longrightarrow \{1, \dots, \lambda\}$.

The coloring is called good if for any edge $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$, $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$.



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Let $\chi(G, \lambda)$ be the number of good λ -colorings of G.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Let $\chi(G, \lambda)$ be the number of good λ -colorings of G. Theorem $\chi(G, \lambda)$ is a polynomial on λ . Moreover

$$\chi(G,\lambda) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{\omega(G[X])}$$

where $\omega(G[X])$ denote the number of connected components of the subgraph generated by X.

Proof (idea) By using the inclusion-exclusion formula.

The chromatic polynomial has been introduced by Birkhoff as a tool to attack the 4-color problem.

Indeed, if for a planar graph G we have $\chi(G, 4) > 0$ then G admits a good 4-coloring.

The chromatic polynomial has been introduced by Birkhoff as a tool to attack the 4-color problem.

Indeed, if for a planar graph G we have $\chi(G, 4) > 0$ then G admits a good 4-coloring.

Theorem If G is a graph with $\omega(G)$ connected components. Then,

 $\chi(G,\lambda) = \lambda^{\omega(G)}(-1)^{|V(G)|-\omega(G)}t(M(G);1-\lambda,0).$

The chromatic polynomial has been introduced by Birkhoff as a tool to attack the 4-color problem.

Indeed, if for a planar graph G we have $\chi(G, 4) > 0$ then G admits a good 4-coloring.

Theorem If G is a graph with $\omega(G)$ connected components. Then,

 $\chi(G,\lambda) = \lambda^{\omega(G)}(-1)^{|V(G)|-\omega(G)}t(M(G); 1-\lambda, 0).$

Exemple : $\chi(K_3, 3) = 3^1 (-1)^{3-1} t(K_3; 1-3, 0)$ = $3 \cdot 1 \cdot t(U_{2,3}; -2, 0) = 3((-2)^2 - 2 + 0) = 6.$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

The theory of Ehrhart focuses in counting the number of points with integer coordinates lying in a polytope.

The theory of Ehrhart focuses in counting the number of points with integer coordinates lying in a polytope.

A polytope is called integer if all its vertices have integer coordinates.

Ehrhart studied the function i_P that counts the number of integer points in the polytope P dilated by a factor of t

$$i_P: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \\ t \mapsto |tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Theorem (Ehrhart) i_P is a polynomial on t of degree d, $i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 t + c_0.$

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

• c_d is equals to Vol(P) (the volume of P),

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to Vol(P) (the volume of P),
- c_{d-1} is equals to $Vol(\partial(P)/2)$ where $\partial(P)$ is the surface of P,

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to Vol(P) (the volume of P),
- c_{d-1} is equals to $Vol(\partial(P)/2)$ where $\partial(P)$ is the surface of P,
- $c_0 = 1$ is the Euler's characteristic of *P*.

$$i_P(t) = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0.$$

- c_d is equals to Vol(P) (the volume of P),
- c_{d-1} is equals to $Vol(\partial(P)/2)$ where $\partial(P)$ is the surface of P,
- $c_0 = 1$ is the Euler's characteristic of *P*.

All others coefficients remain a mystery !!

The Minkowski's sum of two sets A and B of \mathbb{R}^d is

 $A+B=\{a+b\mid a\in A,b\in B\}.$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

The Minkowski's sum of two sets A and B of \mathbb{R}^d is

$$A+B=\{a+b\mid a\in A,b\in B\}.$$

Let $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ be a finite set of elements of \mathbb{R}^d . A zonotope generated by A, denoted by Z(A), is a polytope formed by the Minkowski's sum of line segments

$$Z(A) = \{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k | \alpha_i \in [-v_i, v_i]\}.$$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Ehrhart Polynomial



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Ehrhart Polynomial

Permutahedron



A matroid is regular if it is representable over any field.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

A matroid is regular if it is representable over any field.

Theorem Let M be a regular matroid and let A be one of its representation matrix. Then, the Ehrhart polynomial associated to the zonotope Z(A) is given by

$$\dot{q}_{Z(\mathcal{A})}(q) = q^{r(\mathcal{M})}t\left(\mathcal{M}; 1+rac{1}{q}, 1
ight).$$



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

$Reidemeister \ moves$





J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

п

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



Bracket polynomial

For any link diagram D define a Laurent polynomial < D > in one variable A which obeys the following three rules where U denotes the unknot :

Bracket polynomial

For any link diagram D define a Laurent polynomial < D > in one variable A which obeys the following three rules where U denotes the unknot :

$$v \langle u \rangle \equiv 1$$

$$(ii) \quad \left\langle U + D \right\rangle \equiv - \left(A^2 + A^{-2}\right) \left\langle D \right\rangle$$

iii)
$$\langle$$
 \rangle \Rightarrow $=$ A \langle $>$ \rangle $+$ A ⁻¹ \langle \rangle \langle $>$

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem For any link L the bracket polynomial is independent of the order in which rules (i) - (iii) are applied to the crossings. Further, it is invariant under the Reidemeister moves II and III but it is not invariant under Reidemeister move I!!

Theorem For any link L the bracket polynomial is independent of the order in which rules (i) - (iii) are applied to the crossings. Further, it is invariant under the Reidemeister moves II and III but it is not invariant under Reidemeister move I!! The writhe of an oriented link diagram D is the sum of the signs at the crossings of D (denoted by $\omega(D)$).



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial

Theorem For any link L define the Laurent polynomial $f_D(A) = (-A^3)^{\omega(D)} < L >$

Then, $f_D(A)$ is an invariant of ambient isotopy.

Theorem For any link L define the Laurent polynomial

$$f_D(A) = (-A^3)^{\omega(D)} < L >$$

Then, $f_D(A)$ is an invariant of ambient isotopy. Now, define for any link L

$$V_L(z) = f_D(z^{-1/4})$$

where D is any diagram representing L. Then $V_L(z)$ is the Jones polynomial of the oriented link L.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial
Knots







J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Knots





J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín

IIMAG, Université de Montpellier

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial



A link diagram is alternating if the crossings alternate under-over-under-over ... as the link is traversed.

J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial IIMAG, Université de Montpellier



A link diagram is alternating if the crossings alternate under-over-under-over ... as the link is traversed.

A link is alternating if there is an alternating link diagram representing L.

A link diagram is alternating if the crossings alternate under-over-under-over ... as the link is traversed.

A link is alternating if there is an alternating link diagram representing L.

Theorem (Thistlethwaite 1987) If D is an oriented alternating link diagram then

$$V_L(z) = (z^{-1/4})^{3\omega(D)-2}t(M(G); -z, -z^{-1})$$

where G is the graph associated to the knot diagram.

More applications

- Code theory
- Flow polynomial
- Bicycle space of a graph
- Statistical mechanics
- Arrangements of hyperplanes

Matroid theory and Tutte polynomial